

1 Christina N. Goodrich (SBN 261722)
2 Christina.goodrich@klgates.com
3 Cassidy T. Young (SBN 342891)
4 Cassidy.young@klgates.com
5 K&L Gates LLP
6 10100 Santa Monica Boulevard
7 Eighth Floor
8 Los Angeles, California 90067
Telephone: +1 310 552 5000
Facsimile: +1 310 552 5001

[Additional counsel on signature page]

7
8 **Attorneys for Plaintiff Entropic
Communications, LLC**

9 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

11 ENTROPIC COMMUNICATIONS,
12 LLC,

13 Plaintiff,

14 v.
15 COX COMMUNICATIONS, INC., *et
al.*,

16 Defendants.

Case No. 2:23-cv-1049-JWH-KES
(Lead Case)

Case No. 2:23-cv-01050-JWH-KES
(Related Case)

17
18 **REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE
IN SUPPORT OF ENTROPIC
COMMUNICATIONS, LLC'S
MOTION TO DISMISS COX
DEFENDANTS' AMENDED
COUNTERCLAIMS PURSUANT TO
RULE 12(b)(6)**

19 Hearing Date: March 29, 2024
Hearing Time: 9:00 a.m.
Courtroom: 9D (Santa Ana)

20 ENTROPIC COMMUNICATIONS,
21 LLC,

22 Plaintiff,

23 v.
24 COMCAST CORPORATION, *et al.*,

25 Defendants.

26
27
28 **REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE**

1 Plaintiff Entropic Communications, LLC (“Entropic”) respectfully requests
 2 that the Court take judicial notice of Exhibits A and B attached to this request.

3 Judicial notice of facts is proper where the facts “can be accurately and readily
 4 determined from sources whose accuracy cannot reasonably be questioned.” FED. R.
 5 EVID. 201(b)(2). Courts may take judicial notice of matters of public record, as well
 6 as documents made publicly available where there is no question as to their
 7 authenticity. *Lee v. City of L.A.*, 250 F.3d 668, 688–90 (9th Cir. 2001).

8 This request is made in connection with Entropic’s recently filed Motion to
 9 Dismiss Cox’s Defendants’ Amended Counterclaims. As detailed in that Motion,
 10 Cox Communications, Inc., CoxCom LLC, and Cox Communications California,
 11 LLC’s (collectively, “Cox”) counterclaims depend on the Asserted Patents being
 12 essential to the DOCSIS License Agreement. Plaintiff asks the Court to take notice
 13 of the fact that the District Court for the Eastern District of Texas recently issued an
 14 order granting summary judgement that three of the patents asserted in this case (U.S.
 15 Patent Nos. 8,792,008, 9,825,826, and 10,135,682) are not subject to the DOCSIS
 16 License. See Exhibit A at 6–9 (report and recommendation); Exhibit B (order
 17 granting report and recommendation).

18 Accordingly, Entropic requests that the Court take judicial notice of the
 19 following:

- 20 1. Magistrate Judge Payne’s report and recommendation regarding
 21 Entropic’s motion for summary judgement of no license defense based
 22 on DOCSIS, attached hereto as **Exhibit A**.
- 23 2. Judge Gilstrap’s Order adopting Judge Payne’s report and
 24 recommendation, attached hereto as **Exhibit B**.

25
 26 Dated: February 6, 2024

K&L GATES LLP

27 By: /s/ Cassidy T. Young

28 Cassidy T. Young (SBN 342891)
 Christina Goodrich (SBN 261722)

1 K&L Gates, LLP
2 10100 Santa Monica Boulevard,
3 8th Floor
4 Los Angeles, CA 90067
5 Telephone: (310) 552-5000
6 Fax: (310) 552-5001
7 cassidy.young@klgates.com
8 christina.goodrich@klgates.com

9 James A. Shimota (*pro hac vice*)
10 70 W. Madison Street, Ste 3300
11 Chicago, Illinois 60602
12 Telephone: (312) 807-4299
13 Fax: (312) 827-8000
14 jim.shimota@klgates.com

15 Peter E. Soskin
16 4 Embarcadero Center, Ste 1200
17 San Francisco, CA 94111
18 Telephone: (415) 882-8220
19 peter.soskin@klgates.com

20 *Attorneys for Plaintiff, Entropic*
21 *Communications, LLC*